

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/523,351	TACHIBANA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
ALI S. ABYANEH	2137	

All Participants:

(1) ALI S. ABYANEH.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Vazken Alexanian.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney was informed about the informalities in the claim 6 (spelling of the word watermark), abstract and title of the application. Applicant's attorney agreed to correct the informalities and transmit a corrected version of title and abstract via an e-mail to the examiner. Applicant's attorney authorized the examiner to correct the informalities of the claim, title and abstract through an examiner's amendment..